

Lockerbie-Bombing 1988 – another False-Flag Terror-Act

The case of the only man found guilty of the Lockerbie bombing that killed 270 people has taken an unusual turn – a long time ago. Imagine that you were framed for a murderous case and sent to the deposit to rot up in jail, then your lawyers uncovered irregularities leading the court to reconsider the case but after more than four years, and in spite of press quotation in both mainstream media and alternative blogs, you still find yourself in the same cell, wouldn't it be bewildering to you? Even in case of a later acquittal, what is the consequence of years of unjust imprisonment? Even if you are not serving the time at the Devil's Island like Dreyfuss did, it is a heavy justice scandal going on, raising the question who is abusing the low speed of our justice system to delay the uncovering of the real guilty?

The Lockerbie Bombing

On December 20, 1988, PanAm flight 103 from Frankfurt to New York exploded over the Scottish village Lockerbie, killing all onboard and 11 persons on the ground. The perpetrators had initially intended the plane to crash into the sea, destroying any traceable evidence, but the late departure time of the aircraft meant that its explosion over land left a veritable trail of evidence. It was immediately claimed that Libyan agents had planted a bomb, using a Swiss timer.

The Trial

Mr. Abdelbaset Ali Mohamed al-Megrahi, 54, received a life sentence in 2001 for plotting and carrying out what was then the world's worst terrorist atrocity following a trial costing £80m at Camp Zeist in The Netherlands. Megrahi has always insisted he was innocent and even Lord Fraser, who issued the warrant for his arrest, had expressed doubts about the initial verdict. Authorities have a duty to investigate the credibility of their witnesses before they issue an arrest warrant. In the Megrahi's case, they did not. As they blindly trusted their US partners, they failed to perform this basic obligation.

Mr. Megrahi has served 8 years in British custody. During sentencing he was told he must serve at least 27 years before being considered for release.

Available Evidences for Injustice

Quite a number of irregularities have been uncovered:

Megrahi's lawyers already have a sworn statement from a retired Scottish police officer confirming that evidence had been planted by the CIA to secure the Libyan's conviction [1,2].

And with the bobble bursting, further details emerged from the process: "That's all? You're not letting me tell the truth. Wait a minute; I know exactly who did it [Lockerbie]. I know how it was done," Pierre Salinger replied to the trial judge, Lord Sutherland, who simply asked him to leave the witness box [3].

On July 30, 2007 FAZ reported: "the Swiss engineer Ulrich Lumpert delivered a sworn statement, in which he revoked earlier statements for the Lockerbie investigation and concludes, some pieces of evidence have been 'intentionally and politically' manipulated" [4].

The Guardian was more direct: "The key piece of material evidence used by prosecutors to implicate Libya in the Lockerbie bombing has emerged as a probable fake ... In 2001 .. 'I was a defence witness, but the trial was so skewed to prove Libyan involvement that the details of what I had to say were ignored. A photograph of the fragments was produced in court and I asked to see the pieces again. When they were

1 <http://aangirfan.blogspot.com/2006/02/lockerbie-new-forensic-tests-suggest.html>

2 <http://news.scotsman.com/index.cfm?id=1855852005>

3 http://english.ohmynews.com/articleview/article_view.asp?no=374006&rel_no=1

4 <http://www.faz.net/s/RubDDDBABB9457A437BAA85A49C26FB23A0/Doc~E6CC5F02CD64942F8AF7197B74E0BE5F1~ATpl~Ecommon~Scontent.html>

brought to me, they were practically carbonised. They had been tampered with since I had seen them in Dumfries' " [5]. Worse, this fact was already contained in a document available at the process that was never shown to the defence [6].

Mr. Lumpert's chief Edwin Bollier, head of the Swiss company MEBO that was said to have manufactured the timer used to detonate the Pan Am bomb, claims he was offered \$4 million by American investigators to lie to the trial judges. "I rejected this and said this could not possibly be the case" [7] The claim followed news that the Maltese shopkeeper Tony Gauci, whose evidence led to Megrahi's conviction, was offered \$2 million by the CIA. Telepolis brought suspicion towards an ally of CIA: "Did a Toshiba-Radiowatch cause the explosion? In that case, the bomb was created by an agent of the German BND (Marwan Abdel Razzaq Mufdi Khreesat)" [8]. Among the suspects is also Mohammed Abu Talb, a convicted Palestinian terrorist and initial suspect for the Lockerbie bombing. He was a member of PFLP, leading the track in another direction but at least away from Libya.

The verdict, rested fundamentally on two points: it was Megrahi who purchased the clothes which were packed into the suitcase that (probably) contained the bomb, and that suitcase began its fateful journey in Malta. However, Megrahi was never positively identified as the man who purchased the clothing. No evidence was offered to prove that the suitcase began its journey in Malta, let alone that it was Megrahi who sent it on its way [9].

And guess who the American chief of investigations was? Mr. Richard Mueller who was appointed the Chief of FBI on September 10, 2001, and those given occasion also to 'solve' 9/11 from the day after.

The strange thing is that in the presence of all these facts and statements, Mr Megrahi remained in jail. Only August 20, 2009, he was returned home to Libya, not because of injustice but liberated on reasons of clemency due to a terminal prostate cancer with an estimated survival time of three months. American politicians reacted aggressively.

And Tony Gauci, the Maltese shopkeeper, (whose evidence was crucial in securing al Megrahi's conviction), reported that the man to whom he sold the clothes was 6'3" or 6'4". al Megrahi is 5'10". Gauci 'identified' al Megrahi eleven years after the event, having been shown a photograph of him classifying him as a suspect, 4 days before evidence was heard at the trial.

Dec. 23, 2007

Revised Aug. 15, 2010

Tripoli, July 2010

Eleven months after his release, Mr. Megrahi is alive and well, recovering from a successful cycle of chemotherapy, but also from years of unjustified confinement. American politicians, obsessed of angles of revenge, feels that somebody must be punished for the terror-act, never mind who (do you see a parallel to the sentence of Mr. Motassadeq in Hamburg for complicity in 9/11?). Due to a misunderstanding, the liberation of Mr. Megrehi was confused with another exchange of Libyan prisoners, which Tony Blair carried out in 2007 for the benefit of BP [10] and against the protest of the regional Scottish government. On July 20, First Minister Alex Salmond insisted he did not regret the controversial release from prison of the Lockerbie bomber, a decision on the basis of the evidence at the time. I wish Mr. Megrahi a long future in freedom.

As part of the clemency act, Mr. Megrahi was forced to sign a document, according to which he was barred from an appeal. Safe at home, he did collect 300 pages of evidence for his innocence 10 months ago [11,12]. On that occasion, BBC found a

5 <http://observer.guardian.co.uk/world/story/0,,2160655,00.html>

6 <http://news.scotsman.com/scotland.cfm?id=1571662007>

7 <http://news.scotsman.com/uk.cfm?id=1597732007>

8 <http://www.heise.de/tp/r4/artikel/26/26718/1.html>

9 <http://links.org.au/node/406>

10 <http://news.scotsman.com/news/Alex-Salmond-No-regrets-over.6431873.jp>

11 <http://megrahimystory.net/>

12 <http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/uk/article6839840.ece>

suitable headline: Megrahi: 'A convenient scapegoat?' [13]. But who, then, carried out the terror-act, if not the Libyan? There are two experts in false-flag terror attacks, CIA and Mossad, and there is reason to consider them both. However, be realistic and do not expect the truth uncovered the first few years

July 21, 2010