

NATO – Rise and Fall

In school, at the age of 16 or 17, I held a glowing presentation of NATO, then understood as (and probably being) the basis of Danish independence. I was surprised of my teacher's reaction; I had not expected him to be a 'commie' (then the term for an 'unpatriotic' person who dared to defend a different opinion). Today, I see myself in a similar position, as a misunderstood person, trying to explain what will inevitably be the case, only a few years too early. Thereby I may be considered a 'leftist,' which is only relatively true: I kept my political standing rather unchanged while the political spectre at the turn of the millennium marched to the right in monotonous goose-steps. But I am nearing the conclusion here, let us instead start with the beginning.



Birth of an Alliance

The **North Atlantic Treaty Organization** (NATO) was formed in 1949 as a system of collective defence whereby its member states agree to mutual defence in response to an attack by any external party (it is worth remembering here that both World Wars were precipitated on such a basis and the next one may be as well. Some say it has already started). An integrated military structure was built up under the Korean War (1950-53). The obvious enemy was Soviet Russia, which then formed the counterpart, The **Warsaw Pact** five years later (1954) the same year in which the two parts of Germany were found worthy to take part in the potential military confrontation, known as the Cold War (on each their side of the front). In 1966, however, France – then under the leadership of a more intelligent president than today, realized the danger of being under U.S. command and withdrew from NATO's military structure.

During 1988, Gorbachev announced that the Soviet Union would abandon the Brezhnev Doctrine, and allow the Eastern bloc nations to freely determine their own internal affairs. This invitation led to a string of counter-revolutions in Eastern Europe throughout 1989, in which Communism was overthrown. In December 1989, the American and Russian presidents, George H. W. Bush and Mikhail Gorbachev met at Malta to facilitate the military dismantling of Europe [1]. In spite of British resistance and French warnings, here the German reunion was decided upon. The Americans primarily sought Russian guarantees about Afghanistan (which they had left the year before), Nicaragua and Cuba while being surprised that Russia would tolerate the Americans to remain in Europe (this was in order to counteract a new strengthening of Germany), but none of the parts at that time advocated reunification.

Soon after, the Soviet Union, and with it the Warsaw Pact collapsed (over economic, not military issues – could this also result from a collapse of the US-\$ or €?). No contract had been made concerning later conflict issues: The enlargement of NATO with offensive weapons near Russia along the eastern borders of its former allies, and the persistence of nuclear weapons (under American control) on German soil. Soon after, Gorbachev was removed from power and his successor in Russia soon concentrating on a personal enrichment.

NATO was left without an enemy. In the coming years, it was gradually altered from a defensive to an offensive construct. In the words of Peter Scholl-Latour, it simply lost

1 <http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB298/index.htm>

its justification, something its member states so far failed to recognize. Instead, they have joined around USA's unpromising adventures at Balkan and the Middle East.

The 'Missile Shield'

Claiming an Iranian threat as its justification, the USA has gained approval from the new NATO-members Poland and the Czech Republic to install a radar 'missile shield' connected to offensive rockets. For anybody who can look at a map (unfortunately, that excludes most Americans) this disposition is as ridiculous as if rockets at Cuba should protect Russia against the Danes (an argument Khrushchev did not use back in 1962). Given that the Iranian threat is as serious as Saddam Hussein's 'nuclear' bombs, which was claimed as reason for the ill-fated invasion in 2003, the missile shield is nothing less than an American intention to confront Russia geographically.

The Activation of the Pact

The NATO-pact was activated only once when, as claimed by Bush the 2nd, Afghan terrorists attacked USA. Widely believing the official fairy-tale, Scholl-Latour claims that Saudi circles stood behind the event. Part of the story cites preparations in Hamburg (fortunately, the city was not bombed in revenge, but one of its inhabitants remains in jail for unproven claims of complicity), but closer study of the event shows that both American and Israeli citizens are behind the crime [2], reason enough to investigate it, one should think, but instead, USA and its allies started a war against ... Afghanistan, a war they cannot win. Involving NATO here means a distress for the union as such. However, this is not its only crash-test.

Imagine a Foreign State Attacked a NATO Member

According to its statutes, this should be regarded an attack on the whole union, right? Nevertheless, this happened twice without such dire consequences. In 1967, during the six-day-war, Israel attacked an American warship, Liberty, and tried to sink it in a false-flag attack, which nearly ended in an American nuclear attack on Cairo. However, the attackers excused themselves; it was a 'mistake' (as if that was possible). The survivors of Libby, the ship that wouldn't sink, had difficulties forgetting the expression of our 'friends,' but already then, USA seems to have lost their independency.

Less than a month ago, six Turkish and an American flagged ship were attacked by pirates in International waters and at least eight Turks and an American were killed – there are rumours of up to 19 fatalities, so I cannot presently close on that figure. Serious wounded were reported in some fifty persons of different nations. The pirates made no secret about that they came from Israel so I hope you realize, this time it means war!

No, it does not. Through an unconceivable corruption, America has sunk even deeper as Israel's modern colony, and this must also be expected in other states of Europe, Canada and Australia (possibly even New Zealand), where Zionization has also progressed far beyond independence. A quite different reaction could have been expected if the attack had been performed by someone else.

With Such Friends, You don't Need Enemies

The servile behaviour towards our 'friends,' frequently termed our 'allies' (although I am not aware of any official treaty justifying such cordiality), is also reflected in joint military manoeuvres, an incomprehensible eager to defend Israel after its disastrous attack on its

2 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Responsible%20for%209-11.pdf>

neighbour, Lebanon (with ~1,600 killed Lebanese) in 2006. Israel has attacked many countries since its independence, in this respect only surpassed by USA itself:

Year	Countries (incomplete)	Remarks
1948	Palestine (ongoing)	Ethnic cleansing [3]
1954	Egypt	Lavon-Affair [4]
1956	Egypt	Suez-crisis
1967	Egypt, Syria, Jordan	Six-day-war
1967	USA	USS Liberty [see Lavon-Affair]
1969	France	Theft of airplanes
1973	Norway, Canada (6 passports)	Murder of wrong man in Hamar
1982-2000	Lebanon	First Lebanon-war
1981	Iraq	Nuclear power reactor
1985	USA	Jonathan Pollard espionage
1985	Tunis	PLO-HQ
1986	Italy	Vanunu-abduction
1997	Jordan, Canada (passport)	Meshal murder-attempt
2005-	Iran	Oral warfare begun [5]
2006	Gaza, Lebanon	1 st Gaza & 2 nd Lebanon-war [6]
2006	Syria	Nuclear power reactor? [7]
2007?	New Zealand	Passport affair
2008-9	Gaza	Operation Cast Lead [8,9]
2010	Qatar, GB, F, AUS, IR, D (Passports)	Mabhohu Murder [10]
2010	Turkey, USA, IR	Free Gaza Flotilla [11]

Some suspected operations (e.g. Hariri-murder in Beirut, Arafat-murder in Ramallah, activity in Kurdistan and Cheonan-sinking, Korea [12]) and less-known Mossad-plots are here not specified.

In conclusion, Israel feels permitted to perform any sort of crime anywhere in the world without paying respect to any nation's sovereignty.

Strangely enough, this racist nation [13] was recently accepted as a member of OECD, a society of otherwise democratic states. It has not yet been recorded if they had stolen the membership-insignia, which would be in accordance to their previous records.

Being an American Ally

Under George W. Bush, injustice and warfare proliferated worldwide under his administration of gangsters (set up by stolen elections), leading me to express, "we are at the wrong front" [14].

But what about the successor to Bush the 2nd, shouldn't everything change for the better? After all, he received Nobel's peace price after less than a year as president.

Nice talk is necessary but does not alone solve any problems. Guantanamo persists and torture can be continued. The civil rights remain battered as under Bush. Just

3 http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Conquest_Palestine.pdf

4 Earlier False-Flag Operations (not in Internet, obtainable from author)

5 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/War-Drums%20Against%20Iran.pdf>

6 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Israels%20New%20War.pdf>

7 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Israels%20Autumn%20Adventure%202007.pdf>

8 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/2nd%20Gaza%20Warx.pdf>

9 http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Goldstone_Report.pdf

10 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Mossad-Murder.pdf>

11 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Gaza-Freedom-Flotille.pdf>

12 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Deep-Waters.pdf>

13 http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Living_Conditions_in_the_Apartheid_State.pdf

14 <http://www.schou.de/terrorwar/Stop%20the%20War%20%96%20We%20Are%20at%20the%20Wrong%20Front.pdf>

accusation of terrorist activity suffices for American citizenship to be revoked. It is permissive to kill American citizens abroad (i.e. with the help of Israeli drones, like in Gaza). The amount of American troops in Afghanistan has been increased. After all, the secretary of defense, Richard Gates, was taken over from the Bush administration. And the Israeli control of the American Congress is absolute, preventing American pressure for an amelioration of the Palestinians living conditions.

The Final Challenge

"The dog that barks does not bite!" This dubious truth has no value in International politics, where an abundance of barking precedes military action, often in the hope to provoke the opposing part: "It all started as he hit back!" So the battle against Saddam Hussein was decided upon ten months before it was actually begun, in the hope that it would be possible to provoke him to fight back to the repeated provocations. As this failed, the lie about the WMDs (weapon of mass destruction), which threatened the World, was sown. In the shape of depleted Uranium, Iraq is today full of WMDs.

In Iran's case, it was claimed that the country had ambitions to build nuclear weapons. That may indeed be true, but no indication exists of it. As a signatory to the nuclear proliferation, Iran has every right to a peaceful use of nuclear energy, and nuclear enrichment is also permitted. Pressured by the international sanctions, it even agreed to abstain from enrichment. This offer, to transfer enrichment to Turkey, was ignored by USA/Israel, as Iraq's compliance was also steadily ignored.

While nuclear weapons can and probably will be used against Iran, the dispersed Palestinian population is Israel's guarantee against a possible Iranian bomb. Why, then, are they pressing so hard for warfare against the Iranian state? The answer must be sought at home, with the accelerating ethnic cleansing in Palestine being pushed even harder in the shadows of a new warfare.

How welcome that a tremendous fleet is gathering in the Persian Gulf, easy prey for an Israeli false-flag, carried out by its (partly presented) German submarines, also present there. Perhaps they can even achieve to get the whole of NATO activated to participate in their warfare, as they managed on 9/11 to lead them in the disastrous warfare against Afghanistan.

Summary

To my Danish compatriots (and only to those can I speak, though not being heard from the exile) I command: In the third Millennium, NATO has lost its importance, and being allied with the USA, an Israeli colony, brings only discomfort and danger to the nation. Get out of it at the earliest possible occasion.

John Schou
21.6.2010