The trial of those responsible for 9/11

In the following, I demand those punished that participated in the terror attack. Once it is clear that

- the two planes at WTC were part of the show but, contrary to the premature deductions by us stupid dudes, not functionally related to the following collapse, which was definitely a controlled demolition;
- 2 it was not a Boeing that knocked on the back-door of Pentagon On 9/11;
- 3 there was no airplane-wreck in Shankesville, PA;
- 4 there were no hijackers onboard the four crucial planes involved,

enough evidence is available to dismiss the official fairy-tale, reconsider the events and demand the responsive punished.

"Your honour" (the imaginary judge at court, I mean), "I demand the accused pronounced guilty because of the overwhelming evidence presented for their participation in the crime (9/11). This evidence is split between foreknowledge and destruction of evidence. The first is equivalent to participation, the latter a crime in itself which was so effective that it prevented a direct proof ...," and then I take the document, '100 Questions to the Day of the Many Coincidences - 9/11,' in which I tried to abbreviate my original document 'Who were Responsible for 9/11' (it still spreads over 10 pages) to demand that justice cannot abstain from attempting to persecute a mass-murder just because the culprits were successful in covering their deeds up.

"The men in the cage – sorry, by the overwhelming amount of indicted men, I just forgot to mention the single woman first – are to be pronounced guilty because of the following," and here my phantasy ceases. Imagine the following groups:

- **A.** Government officials of the last administration
- **B.** Higher officials
- C. WTC- and NY-officials
- **D.** Urban Moving Contributors and other Mossad agents (gratefully transferred from the new Palestinian gov. Ariel Sharon's lawyer have declared his client unfit for the trial)
- **E.** A currently unknown group of investors who have enriched themselves by their foreknowledge of the events.

I had intended to cite the relevant items for each group, but the improbability that these men (and the woman) will ever stand for a court for their responsibility in 9/11, is small – and the cells in Guantanamo Bay are currently occupied.

Of course, the inhuman methods of 'The American Inquisition' will not be employed. Even without that, the first serious investigation, when backed by an honest American administration (currently not available), may deliver the demanded proof. Until then, though insufficient for a sentence, the principle of *cui bono* can lead the direction of this investigation.

Of course, it may seem naive to hope for justice now, but matters can change fast. For that theoretical event, we must be prepared. The ladies and gentlemen of the press, who failed to pose obvious questions and thereby lost the reliability of the mainstream media, should be dealt with later.

Respectfully,

John Schou

Addendum:

Why do I care for what happened abroad, in the 'United Israeli Colonies of North America'?

Because it affects us all (U\$A against the rest of the World).

- 1. The civil rights restrictions and human rights violations are mirrored here
- 2. The mainstream media are also here distributing brainless propaganda
- 3. My country has been adopted as an ally in the Empire's illegal wars
- 4. Many people in Europe have also been brainwashed by 9/11
- 5. Globalisation was given a giant loop by banker's bailout, starting in the US (the universal importance is only getting clear as one state after the other sinks down to slavery to pay off imaginary debts their country was forced to make)

In short: the adverse effects diminishing humanism in the Third Millennium can be traced back to 9/11, even if the beginning was made much earlier. Deal with it!